

## Chapter 3: Numerical Method

### The Projected gradient method

For the problem  $\inf_{x \in K} f(x)$ , we introduce the following algorithm:

$$\textbf{Algorithm :} \quad x_{k+1} = \Pi_K(x_k - \rho \nabla f(x_k))$$

**Definition 1.** Let  $K$  be a closed set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . We call the **projection** of a point  $y \notin K$  the point  $x^*$  such that

$$\|x^* - y\| = \min_{x \in K} \|x - y\|.$$

We denote  $x^* := \Pi_K(y)$ .

**Proposition 1.** Let  $K$  be a closed convex set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and  $y \notin K$ . Then

- $\Pi_K(y)$  exists and is uniquely determined.
- $\Pi_K(y) = x^*$  is the unique point in  $K$  satisfying:

$$\langle y - x^*, z - x^* \rangle \leq 0, \quad \forall z \in K$$

*Proof.* 1. For the existence, since the map  $x \mapsto \|x - y\|^2$  is strictly convex and **coercive** since minimizing a coercive function in a closed set, there exists a minimizer.

Furthermore for the uniqueness, by the strictly convexity, the minimizer  $x^*$  is unique.

2. We next prove that “ $x^* = \Pi_K(y)$  satisfies  $\langle y - x^*, z - x^* \rangle \leq 0, \quad \forall z \in K$ ”.
- For any  $z \in K$  and  $t \in (0, 1]$ , then  $tz + (1 - t)x^* \in K$  by the convexity of  $K$ .
- Then, we have  $\|tz + (1 - t)x^* - y\|^2 \geq \|x^* - y\|^2$  since  $x^*$  is the minimizer.
- Now, we consider

$$\begin{aligned} \|tz + (1 - t)x^* - y\|^2 &= \|t(z - x^*) + x^* - y\|^2 \\ &= \|x^* - y\|^2 + 2\langle x^* - y, z - x^* \rangle t + \|z - x^*\|^2 t^2 \end{aligned}$$

So, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} 2\langle x^* - y, z - x^* \rangle t + \|z - x^*\|^2 t^2 &\geq 0 \\ \langle y - x^*, z - x^* \rangle &\leq \frac{t}{2} \|z - x^*\|, \quad \forall t \in (0, 1] \end{aligned}$$

Since  $t > 0$  is arbitrary, so this gives  $\langle y - x^*, z - x^* \rangle \leq 0$  for all  $z \in K$ .

3. Let  $\hat{x}$  satisfy  $\langle y - \hat{x}, z - \hat{x} \rangle \leq 0, \forall z \in K$ . Now, we aim to prove that  $\hat{x} = \hat{x}^*$ .

For all  $z \in K$ , note that

$$\begin{aligned} \|y - z\|^2 - \|y - \hat{x}\|^2 &= \|y - \hat{x} + \hat{x} - z\|^2 - \|y - \hat{x}\|^2 \\ &= \|y - \hat{x}\|^2 + 2 \underbrace{\langle y - \hat{x}, \hat{x} - z \rangle}_{\geq 0} + \|\hat{x} - z\|^2 - \|y - \hat{x}\|^2 \\ &\geq \|\hat{x} - z\|^2 \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

This proves that  $\|y - z\|^2 \geq \|y - \hat{x}\|^2$  for all  $z \in K$ , by the uniqueness, this proves that  $\hat{x}$  is the minimizer, that is  $\hat{x} = \Pi_K(y)$ . □

**Theorem 2.** Assume that  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is **elliptic** in the sense that

- $\text{Hess}(f)(x) \geq \alpha I_n$  for some  $\alpha > 0$  and
- $\nabla f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is  $M$ -Lipschitz and  $\rho \in \left(0, \frac{2\alpha}{M^2}\right)$ . Then  $x_k \rightarrow x^*$ , where  $x^*$  is the minimizer of the problem  $\min_{x \in K} f(x)$ .

*Remarks.* We define  $\text{Hess}(f) = \left(\partial_{x_i x_j}^2 f(x)\right)_{i,j=1,\dots,n}$ .

We say  $\text{Hess}(f) \geq \alpha I_n$  if and only if  $\text{Hess}(f) - \alpha I_n$  is semi positive definite.

**Example 1.** When  $n = 1$ ,  $f(x) = a_2 x^2 + a_1 x + a_0$  with  $a_2 > 0$ , then it satisfies the condition.

**Lemma 3.** Under the same condition, let  $x^*$  be the minimizer, then we have

$$\langle \nabla f(y) - \nabla f(x^*), y - x^* \rangle \geq \alpha \|y - x^*\|^2$$

and  $\Pi_K(x^* - \rho \nabla f(x^*)) = x^*$ .

*Remarks.* We will complete the proof for this lemma in next week.

— End of Lecture 21 —